

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/588,890             | VIAKARI ET AL.      |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | FAZLUL QUADER          | 2164                |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) FAZLUL QUADER.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 October 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Yes

Claims discussed:

Yes

Prior art documents discussed:

Yes

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The attorney has agreed to the amendmendments proposed by the examiner in order to place the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Roland Long (Reg. 41949).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 4:00 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)